

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,581	03/20/2006	Karl Ruhland	RUHLAND2	2874
1444 Browdy and N	7590 04/14/201 feimark, PLLC	EXAMINER		
1625 K Street,		DEXTER, CLARK F		
Suite 1100 Washington, I	OC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/572,581	RUHLAND ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Clark F. Dexter	3724		

	Clark F. Dexter	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 April 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. Me reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) \( The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	in.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). MOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
⊠ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k     (a)    ⊠ They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b)    □ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beto)     (c)    □ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal, and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: The amendment raises new issues; for exa further consideration, particularly with respect to 3 raises new issues that require at least further cons	mple, the change to claim 11 raise 5 USC 112; and the change to clain	s new issues that req n 20 (i.e., the addition					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply would, if entered, overcome the following	g rejection(s): the 112 rejection of o	<u>claim 16</u> .					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•					
7. \( \bar{N} \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \bar{L} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \( \frac{1.45}{1.5} \) \( \frac{7 \text{ and } 12}{1.2} \) Claim(s) objected to: \( \frac{1.416}{1.6} \) \( \frac{1.62}{1.0000} \) Claim(s) rejected: \( \frac{1.1416}{1.6} \) \( \frac{1.62}{1.0000} \) Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \( 2.3.8.9.13 \) and \( 17.62 \).	ided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note: the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S8/08) Paper No(s) 13. □ Other:							
	/Clark F. Dexter/	111. 0704					

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724